arXiv:1701.07954v1 [cs.FL] 27 Jan 2017

A new lower bound for reset threshold of synchronizing automata with sink state

D. S. Ananichev*

Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science
Ural Federal University
620000 Ekaterinburg, RUSSIA
D.S.Ananichev@urfu.ru

Abstract

We present a new series of examples of binary slowly synchronizing automata with sink state. The reset threshold of the n-state automaton in this series is $\frac{n^2}{4} + 2n - 9$. This improves on the previously known lower bound for the maximum reset threshold of binary synchronizing n-state automata with sink state.

1 Background and motivation

Let $\mathscr{A} = \langle Q, \Sigma, \delta \rangle$ be a deterministic finite automaton (DFA, for short) with the state set Q, the input alphabet Σ, and the transition function $\delta : Q \times \Sigma \to Q$. If $|\Sigma| = 2$ then we refer to this automaton as a *binary* DFA. The action of the letters in Σ on the states in Q defined via δ extends in a natural way to an action of the words in the free Σ-generated monoid Σ^*; the latter action is still denoted by δ. For any $w \in \Sigma^*$ and $X \subseteq Q$, we set $\delta(X, w) = \{\delta(q, w) \mid q \in X\}$. Sometimes we write $X.w$ for $\delta(X, w)$.

A DFA $\mathscr{A} = \langle Q, \Sigma, \delta \rangle$ is said to be *synchronizing* if there is a word $w \in \Sigma^*$ such that $|\delta(Q, w)| = 1$. The word w is then called a *synchronizing* or *reset* word for $\mathscr{A}$. The minimum length of reset words for a synchronizing automaton $\mathscr{A}$ is called the *reset threshold* of $\mathscr{A}$ and is denoted by $rt(\mathscr{A})$. The reset threshold of a class $\mathcal{C}$ of synchronizing automata is defined as $rt(\mathcal{C}) := \max\{rt(\mathscr{A}) \mid \mathscr{A} \in \mathcal{C}\}$.

*The author acknowledges support by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, grant no. 16-01-00795, and the Competitiveness Program of Ural Federal University.

Černý [5] constructed for each positive integer n an n-state binary synchronizing automaton with reset threshold $(n-1)^2$. The famous *Černý conjecture* claims the optimality of this construction, that is, $(n-1)^2$ is conjectured to be the precise value for the reset threshold for the class synchronizing automata with n states. The conjecture remains open for more than 50 years and is arguably the most longstanding open problem in the combinatorial theory of finite automata.

Upper bounds within the confines of the Černý conjecture have been obtained for the reset thresholds of some special classes of synchronizing automata, see, e.g., [2–4, 6–8, 12, 13]. One of these classes is the class of automata with sink state. A state z of a DFA $\mathscr{A} = \langle Q, \Sigma, \delta \rangle$ is said to be a *sink state* (or *zero*) if $\delta(z, a) = z$ for all $a \in \Sigma$. It is clear that a synchronizing automaton may have at most one sink state and each word that resets a synchronizing automaton possessing sink state must bring all states to sink state. We refer to synchronizing automata with sink state as *synchronizing* 0*-automata*.

A rather straightforward argument shows that every n-state synchronizing 0-automaton can be reset by a word of length $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$, see, e.g., [12]. This upper bound is in fact tight because, for each n, there exists a synchronizing 0-automaton with n states and $n-1$ input letters which cannot be reset by any word of length less than $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$. Such an automaton[1] is shown in Fig. 1 where $\Sigma := \{a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}\}$.



Figure 1: A 0-automaton whose reset threshold is $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$

An essential feature of the example in Fig. 1 is that the input alphabet size grows with the number of states. This contrasts with the aforementioned examples due to Černý [5] in which the alphabet is independent of the state number and leads to the following natural problem: *to determine the reset threshold of n-state synchronizing* 0*-automata over a fixed input alphabet.*

[1] We were not able to trace the origin of this series of synchronizing automata. It is contained, for instance, in [12] but it should have been known long before [12] since a very similar series had appeared already in [9].

To the best of our knowledge, this problem remains open. It appears to be of independent interest and has some connection with some questions of formal language theory related to so-called complete sets of words, see [11].

Up to now, the best lower bound for the reset threshold of binary synchronizing 0-automata with n states that holds for arbitrarily large n has been found by Martyugin [10]. Namely, he has constructed, for every $n \geq 8$, a binary synchronizing 0-automaton $\mathscr{M}_n$ with n states such that $rt(\mathscr{M}_n) = \left\lceil \frac{n^2+6n-16}{4} \right\rceil$. Besides that, Martyugin provided an isolated example of a 10-state synchronizing 0-automaton with reset threshold 37, thus exceeding $\frac{10^2+6\cdot 10-16}{4} = 36$. Vorel in his thesis [14] has extended Martyugin's example to a series of synchronizing 0-automata $\mathscr{V}_j$ with $n = 12j-2$ of states and conjectured [14, Conjecture 2.13] that $rt(\mathscr{V}_j) = \frac{1}{4}n^2 + \frac{3}{2}n - 3$. Observe that for even n, one has $\left\lceil \frac{n^2+6n-16}{4} \right\rceil = \frac{1}{4}n^2 + \frac{3}{2}n - 4$ so that the validity of Vorel's conjecture would improve Martyugin's bound just by 1. The conjecture was computationally verified in [14] for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$, the case $j = 1$ corresponding to Martyugin's 10-state example.

In this paper, we use a neat idea from [14] to provide a new series of binary synchronizing 0-automata with $n \geq 16$ states, $n \equiv 4 \pmod{12}$. The reset threshold of the n-th DFA in our series equals $\frac{1}{4}n^2 + 2n - 9$, thus improving on both the bound established in [10] and the one conjectured in [14].

2 Appending tails to almost permutation automata

We reproduce here the description of Martyugin's series of examples which provides the lower bound $\left\lceil \frac{n^2+6n-16}{4} \right\rceil$ for the reset threshold of binary synchronizing 0-automata with n states. We restrict ourselves to the case of even $n = 2m \geq 8$; this is sufficient to explain our approach.

Let $\mathscr{M}_{2m}$ be the DFA $(Q, \{a, b\}, \delta)$, where $Q = \{0, \ldots, 2m-1\}$ and the transition function δ is defined as follows:

$$\delta(i,a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0, \\ i-1 & \text{if } i = 1, \ldots, m-1, \\ 2m-2 & \text{if } i = m, \\ i-1 & \text{if } i = m+1, \ldots, 2m-2, \\ 2m-1 & \text{if } i = 2m-1; \end{cases}$$

$$\delta(i,b) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0, \\ m & \text{if } i = 1, \ldots, m-1, \\ m-1 & \text{if } i = m, \\ 2m-1 & \text{if } i = m+1, \\ i+1 & \text{if } i = m+2, \ldots, 2m-3, \\ m+1 & \text{if } i = 2m-2, \\ m+2 & \text{if } i = 2m-1. \end{cases}$$

The automaton is shown in Fig. 2. Clearly, 0 is the sink state of $\mathscr{M}_{2m}$.



Figure 2: The automaton $\mathscr{M}_{2m}$

It is easy to see that the automaton $\mathscr{M}_{2m}$ consists of two parts: the "body" formed by the states in $\{m, m+1, \ldots, 2m-1\}$ and the "tail" formed by the states in $\{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$.

Now we describe Vorel's concept of appending a *tail* of length k to an automaton with sink state. Let $\mathscr{A} = \langle Q, \{a,b\}, \delta \rangle$ be a binary DFA with sink state $q_0 \in Q$. Then for each $k \geq 0$ and each $r \in Q$, the expression $\mathscr{A}(k,r)$ stands for the following automaton $\langle Q', \{a,b\}, \delta' \rangle$ with k additional states:

$$Q' = Q \cup \{t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_{k-1}\},$$

$$\delta'(s,a) = \begin{cases} \delta(s,a) & \text{if } \ s \in Q \setminus \{q_0\}, \\ t_{k-1} & \text{if } \ s = q_0, \\ t_0 & \text{if } \ s = t_0, \\ t_{i-1} & \text{if } \ s = t_i, \ i \in \{1,2,\ldots,k-1\}, \end{cases}$$

$$\delta(s,b) = \begin{cases} \delta(s,b) & \text{if } \ s \in Q \setminus \{q_0\}, \\ t_0 & \text{if } \ s = t_0, \\ r & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

for each $s \in Q'$. Observe that t_0 is a unique sink state of $\mathscr{A}(k,r)$.

It is easy to realize that Martyugin's automaton $\mathscr{M}_{2m}$ in Fig. 2 fits under the framework of the above construction; namely, it coincides with the automaton $\mathscr{A}_{2m}(m-1,m)$, where $\mathscr{A}_{2m}$ is the automaton in Fig. 3.



Figure 3: The automaton $\mathscr{A}_{2m}$

We call a synchronizing binary DFA $(Q, \{a,b\}, \delta)$ with sink state $q_0 \in Q$ an *almost permutation automaton* if it fulfils the following three conditions:
1. There is a unique state $r \in Q \setminus \{q_0\}$ such that $\delta(r,b) = q_0$; we refer to r as a *pre-sink* state.
2. The letter b acts as a permutation on the set $Q \setminus \{r\}$.
3. The letter a acts as a permutation on Q.

We use the expression $\mathscr{A}_{(q_0,r)}$ to denote an almost permutation automaton with sink state q_0 and pre-sink state r. We call the least k such that a^k acts

as the identity permutation the *order* of a. Clearly, the order of a is the least common multiple of the lengths of cycles with respect to a.

Observe that the automaton $\mathscr{A}_{2m}$ in Fig. 3 is an almost permutation automaton.

Now we reproduce Vorel's lemma [14, Lemma 2.14] about adding a tail to an almost permutation automaton. We have included a proof of the lemma for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\mathscr{A}_{(q_0,r)} = \langle Q, \{a,b\}, \delta\rangle$ be an n-state synchronizing almost permutation automaton and let k be a multiple of the order of a. Then*

$$rt(\mathscr{A}_{(q_0,r)}(k,r)) = rt(\mathscr{A}_{(q_0,r)}) + nk.$$

Proof. First, we show that $rt(\mathscr{A}_{(q_0,r)}(k,r)) \leq rt(\mathscr{A}_{(q_0,r)}) + nk$. Let w be a reset word of $\mathscr{A}_{(q_0,r)}$. For each $d \geq 1$ we denote by u_d the shortest prefix u of w satisfying $|\delta^{-1}(\{q_0\}, u)| \geq d$, which means that u maps at least d states to q_0. Clearly, u_1 is the empty word and $u_n = w$. Denote $w = v_2v_3\dots v_n$, where $u_d = u_{d-1}v_d$ for each $d \in \{2,3,\dots,n\}$. Let $w' = a^kv_2a^kv_3a^k\dots v_na^k$. It is enough to show that w' resets $\mathscr{A}_{(q_0,r)}(k,r)$, i.e., $\delta'(s,w') = t_0$ for each $s \in Q'$. If $s \in \{t_0,t_1,\dots,t_{k-1}\}$, we just observe that $\delta'(s,a^k) = t_0$. If $s \in Q$, let d be the least integer such that $\delta(s,u_d) = q_0$. Since $\delta(s,u') \neq q_0$ for each proper prefix u' of u_d, the definition of δ' implies that $\delta'(s,u_d) = \delta(s,u_d) = q_0$. Since k is a multiple of the order of a, we have $\delta'(s,u_i) = \delta'(s,a^kv_2a^kv_3a^k\dots v_i)$ for every $i \in \{1,\dots,d\}$. As $\delta'(q_0,a^k) = t_0$, we are done.

Second, we show that $rt(\mathscr{A}_{(q_0,r)}(k,r)) \geq rt(\mathscr{A}_{(q_0,r)}) + nk$. Let w be a reset word of $\mathscr{A}_{(q_0,r)}(k,r)$. For each $s \in Q$ we denote by u'_s the shortest prefix u' of w' with $\delta'(s,u') = t_0$. Observe that $u'_s = v'_sa^k$ for some v'_s with $\delta'(s,v'_s) = q_0$. Moreover, since $\delta'(Q',a) = Q' \setminus \{q_0\}$, each v'_s either ends with b or is empty.

Next, we show that $v'_p \neq v'_s$ for each distinct $p,s \in Q$. Otherwise, we have $\delta'(p,v') = \delta'(s,v') = q_0$, where $v' = v'_p = v'_s$. As r is the only merging state in $\mathscr{A}_{(q_0,r)}(k,r)$ except for t_0, we have $\delta'(p,v''b) = \delta'(s,v''b) = r$, for some prefix v'' of v' with $\delta'(p,v'') \neq \delta'(s,v'')$. Since the states $\delta'(p,v'')$ and $\delta'(s,v'')$ belong to $\delta'^{-1}(r,b) = \{t_0,t_1,\dots,t_{k-1},q_0\}$, we can denote $t_i = \delta'(p,v'')$ and $t_j = \delta'(s,v'')$, where $i,j \in \{0,1,\dots,k\}$ and t_k stands for q_0. From $t_i = \delta'(p,v'')$ it follows that v'' ends with ba^i or equals to a^i. From $t_j = \delta'(s,v'')$ it follows that v'' ends with ba^j or equals to a^j. As $i \neq j$, we get a contradiction.

Therefore, each of the distinct prefixes v'_s of w' for $s \in Q$ ends with b or is empty and is followed by a^k. Thus, w' contains at least n disjoint occurrences of the factor a^k. Let w be obtained from w' by deleting these

factors, so that we have $|w| \leq |w'| - nk$. It remains to show that w is a reset word of $\mathscr{A}_{(q_0,r)}$. Choose $s \in Q$ and let w'_s be the shortest prefix u' of w' with $\delta'(s, u') = q_0$. Since $\delta'(Q', a) = Q' \setminus \{q_0\}$, the word w'_s ends with b or is empty. Thus, we can consider the prefix w_s of w obtained by deleting the occurrences of a^k from w'_s. Since k is a multiple of the order of a, the word a^k acts as the identity permutation on the set $Q \setminus \{q_0\}$ in both $\mathscr{A}_{(q_0,r)}(k, r)$ and $\mathscr{A}_{(q_0,r)}$. We conclude that

$$\delta(s, w_s) = \delta(s, w'_s) = \delta'(s, w'_s) = q_0,$$

which implies easily that $\delta(s, w) = q_0$. □

Thus, *in order to obtain a series of binary* 0*-automata with high reset threshold, it is sufficient to construct a series of almost permutation automata with high reset threshold.*

Let us make the idea just stated more precise. Suppose we have constructed a series of almost permutation automata $\mathscr{A}_n$ such that

$$rt(\mathscr{A}_n) = An^2 + Bn + C,$$

where A, B and C are some constants and n is the number of states. (Of course, $0 \leq A \leq 1/2$ in view of the inequality $rt(\mathscr{A}_n) \leq \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ from [12].) Then we can add tails of lengths $k = k(n)$ and obtain the series of binary 0-automata $\mathscr{B}_N$ with $N = n + k$ states. If $k(n)$ is chosen to be the order of the letter a in $\mathscr{A}_n$, then Vorel's lemma implies that

$$rt(\mathscr{B}_N) = An^2 + Bn + C + nk.$$

Suppose that $k = Dn + E$, where D and E are constants. Then,

$$rt(\mathscr{B}_N) = \frac{A + D}{(1 + D)^2} \cdot N^2 + O(N).$$

If $D = 1 - 2A$, then the first coefficient is maximal and is equal to $\frac{1}{4(1-A)}$. This implies that if $A < 1/2$, then $rt(\mathscr{B}_N)$ grows faster than $rt(\mathscr{A}_n)$.

If we try to apply the above reasoning to Martyugin's example, we may observe that the reset threshold of the almost permutation automata $\mathscr{A}_{2m}$ shown in Fig. 3 grows linearly with the number of states, that is, we have to look at the special case when $A = 0$ (and hence $D = 1$). If $rt(\mathscr{A}_n) = Bn + C$ and $k = n + E$, then

$$rt(\mathscr{B}_N) = \frac{1}{4} \cdot N^2 + \frac{B}{2} \cdot N + O(1).$$

In Martyugin's example $B = 3$. Therefore, to obtain a larger lower bound for the reset threshold of binary synchronizing 0-automata, it is sufficient to construct a series of almost permutation automata $\mathscr{A}_n$ with constant order of the letter a and such that $rt(\mathscr{A}_n) = An^2 + Bn + C$, where $A > 0$, or $A = 0$ and $B > 3$. We present such a construction in the next section.

3 A series of slowly syncronizable almost permutation automata

We present a series of almost permutation automata $\mathscr{A}_n = \langle Q, \Sigma, \delta \rangle$, where $n = 7, 8, \ldots$ is the number of states, such that the reset threshold for the automaton $\mathscr{A}_n$ is at least $4n - 13$. The state set Q_n of the automaton $\mathscr{A}_n$ is $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$. The input alphabet Σ of $\mathscr{A}_n$ consists of two letters a and b.

The actions of the letters a and b on the set Q_n are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\delta(0,a) = \delta(0,b) = 0,\\
&\delta(1,a) = 0,\\
&\delta(1,b) = 3,\ \delta(2,b) = 1,\ \delta(3,b) = 2,\\
&\delta(2,a) = 4,\ \delta(3,a) = 2,\ \delta(4,a) = 3,\\
&\text{and for the other states}\\
&\delta(j,a) = \begin{cases} j-1 & \text{if } j \text{ is odd},\\ j+1 & \text{if } j \text{ is even and } j \neq n-1,\\ j & \text{if } j \text{ is even and } j = n-1. \end{cases}\\
&\delta(j,b) = \begin{cases} j-1 & \text{if } j \text{ is even},\\ j+1 & \text{if } j \text{ is odd and } j \neq n-1,\\ j & \text{if } j \text{ is odd and } j = n-1. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Fig. 4 and 5 show the automata $\mathscr{A}_8$ and $\mathscr{A}_9$, respectively.

It is easy to see that 0 is the sink state of the automaton $\mathscr{A}_n$, the letter b acts as permutation on Q_n and the letter a acts as permutation on $Q_n \setminus \{1\}$. Thus, $\mathscr{A}_n$ is an almost permutation automaton for every n.

Theorem 3.1. *For every $n \geq 5$, the reset threshold of the automaton $\mathscr{A}_n$ is at least $4n - 13$.*

Proof. Let W be a reset word of minimal length for the automaton $\mathscr{A}_n$.

We say that an occurrence of the factor aa of W is *significant* if the letter of the word W following this occurrence is b. We also say that an occurrence



Figure 4: The automaton $\mathscr{A}_8$



Figure 5: The automaton $\mathscr{A}_9$

of the factor bb of W is *significant* if the letter of the word W preceding this occurrence is a. It is easy to see that different significant factors of W do not overlap. If a letter occurs in the word W beyond any of its significant factors, we refer to this occurrence as *extra occurrence*.

Let u be the longest prefix of the word W with the property $\{5, 6, \ldots, n-1\} \subseteq Q_n.u$. Let w be such that $W = uw$. Since the transition $\delta(5, b) = 4$ is the only transition from the set $\{5, 6, \ldots, n-1\}$ to the set $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$, the first letter of the word w is b. Since $\delta(4, b) = 5$, the second letter of the word w is a and $4 \notin Q_n.u$.

Consider the actions of all words of length at most 5 to the set Q_n. We see that if $|u| < 6$ then $Q_n.u = Q_n \setminus \{1, 4\}$. (More precisely, there are only 6 words u of length at most 5 with the property $4 \notin Q_n.u$, namely, *abaa*, *abba*, *babaa*, *babba*, *aabaa*, *aabba*. For every word u from this list, we have $Q_n.u = Q_n \setminus \{1, 4\}$.)

We call a state $q \in Q_n.u$ *essential* if there is a prefix x of the word w such that $\delta(q, x) = 4$. As we noticed above, the word w starts with the

factor ba; therefore, if $3 \in Q_n.u$, then 3 is an essential state. Every state from $\{5, 6, \ldots, n-1\}$ is also essential since the transition $\delta(5, b) = 4$ is the only transition from the set $\{5, 6, \ldots, n-1\}$.

Consider an essential state $q \in Q_n.u$. Let x_q be the longest prefix of the word w such that $\delta(q, x_q) = 4$. If the word v_q is such that $w = x_q v_q$, the length of v_q is at least 4 since $\delta(4, v_q) = \delta(q, w) = 0$.

If the first letter of v_q is b, then $\delta(q, x_q b) = 5 > 4$, whence there is a prefix y of w which is longer than x_q and $\delta(q, y) = 4$. This contradicts the choice of the word x_q. Therefore the first letter of v_q is a. Denote the second letter of v_q by γ. If the third letter of v_q is a then $\delta(q, x_q a \gamma a) = 4$. This again contradicts the choice of the word x_q.

Thus, v_q starts with the factor $a\gamma b$, and it contains a^2 or b^2 as a significant factor. We refer to this significant factor as the significant factor *corresponding to the state* q.

Let $s, t \in Q_n.u$ be two different essential states. Suppose that $x_s = x_t$. Take the longest prefix y of x_s such that $\delta(s, y) \neq \delta(t, y)$ and denote the next letter that occurs in x_s by τ. Let $s_1 = \delta(s, y)$ and $t_1 = \delta(t, y)$. We have $s_1 \neq t_1$ and $\delta(s_1, \tau) = \delta(t_1, \tau)$. This means that $\{s_1, t_1\} = \{0, 1\}$, whence $\tau = a$ and $\delta(s_1, \tau) = \delta(t_1, \tau) = 0$ by the definition of the automaton $\mathscr{A}_n$. Hence $\delta(s, x_s) = 0$, and this contradicts the choice of the word x_s. Thus, $x_s \neq x_t$. This means that the significant factors corresponding to the different states are different.

So, we have shown that either $|u| = 4$ and w contains at least $n-4$ significant factors corresponding to the states $3, 5, 6, \ldots, n-1$ or $|u| \geq 6$ and w contains at least $n-5$ significant factors, corresponding to the states $5, 6, \ldots, n-1$. Therefore the sum of the length of the word u and the lengths of all significant factors in the word w is at least $2n-4$.

Let x be the shortest prefix of the word w such that

$$Q_n.ux \cap \{5, 6, \ldots, n-1\} = \varnothing$$

and let the word y be such that $w = xy$. (We thus have $W = uxy$.) Since $\delta(5, b) = 4$ is the only transition from the set $\{5, 6, \ldots, n-1\}$, the definition of x implies that $4 \in Q.ux$, the last letter of the word x is b and the first letter of the word y is a. Therefore the length of the suffix y is at least 4.

Since the length of W is minimal, the last two letters of the word W (and of the suffix y) are ba. Hence the last letter of the suffix y is an extra occurrence. Suppose that all other letters of y occur within significant factors. Then $y = (a^2b^2)^t a$ for some t, but the equality $\delta(4, a^2b^2a) = 2 \neq 0$ contradicts the choice of W and the equality $\delta(4, a^2b^2a^2b) = 5$ contradicts the choice of x. Therefore, the suffix y contains at least two extra occurrences.

Now we count extra occurrences in the word x. Let x_1 be the shortest prefix of the word w such that $n-1 \notin Q_n.ux_1$. This means that $n-2 \in Q.ux_1$ and x_1 is a proper prefix of x. Let x_2 be such that $x = x_1x_2$. (We thus have $W = ux_1x_2y$.)

We notice that $p-1 \leq \delta(p,\ell) \leq p+1$ for every state $p \in \{5,6,\ldots,n-1\}$ and every letter $\ell \in \Sigma$. Observe also that $X.a^2 = X$ and $X.b^2 = X$ for every $X \subseteq \{5,6,\ldots,n-1\}$. It means that the word x_1 contains at least $n-5$ extra occurrences and the word x_2 contains at least $n-6$ extra occurrences.

We conclude that the length of the word W is at least

$$(2n-4)+2+(n-5)+(n-6) = 4n-13.$$

This proves the theorem. □

It can be immediately verified that the words $aba(abaabbab)^{\frac{n-5}{2}}aaba$ for each odd $n \geq 7$ and the words $aba(abaabbab)^{\frac{n-8}{2}}ab^3(abaabbab)aaba$ for each even $n \geq 10$ are reset words for the corresponding automata $\mathscr{A}_n$. This means that for $n = 7,9,10,\ldots$ the reset threshold of the automaton $\mathscr{A}_n$ is $4n-13$. We have also verified that the reset threshold of the automaton $\mathscr{A}_8$ is 20.

Observe that order of the letter b in every automaton $\mathscr{A}_n$ is equal to 6. Therefore, if we append to $\mathscr{A}_n$ a tail of length $k = 6\cdot\lceil\frac{n}{6}\rceil$, we obtain a binary synchronizing 0-automaton $\mathscr{B}_N$ with $N = n+k$ states and reset threshold

$$\frac{1}{4}N^2 + 2N + O(1).$$

In particular, if $n \equiv 4 \pmod 6$, we can chose $k = n-4$ and get the desired series $\mathscr{B}_N$ with

$$rt(\mathscr{B}_N) = \frac{1}{4}N^2 + 2N - 9.$$

We have thus proved

Theorem 3.2. *For every $n \geq 16$, $n \equiv 4 \pmod{12}$, there exists a binary synchronizing 0-automaton with n states and reset threshold $\frac{1}{4}n^2 + 2n - 9$.*

We are sure that this new lower bound for reset threshold of binary synchronizing 0-automata with n states is not tight because our computational experiments have delivered further examples of almost permutation automata with reset threshold higher than $4n-13$ where n is the number of states and these automata seem to extend to some infinite series. However, at the moment we cannot yet support this experimental evidence by rigorous proofs.

References

[1] D.S. Ananichev, The mortality threshold for partially monotonic automata, in C. de Felice, A. Restivo (eds.), Developments in Language Theory, Proc. 9th Int. Conf. DLT 2005 [Lect. Notes Comput. Sci., 3572], Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York, 2005, 112–121.

[2] D. S. Ananichev, M. V. Volkov, Some results on Černý type problems for transformation semigroups, in I. Araujo, M. Branco, V. H. Fernandes, G. M. S. Gomes (eds.), Semigroups and Languages, World Scientific, Singapore, 2004, 23–42.

[3] D. S. Ananichev, M. V. Volkov, Synchronizing monotonic automata, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 327 (2004) 225–239.

[4] D. S. Ananichev, M. V. Volkov, Synchronizing generalized monotonic automata, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 330 (2005) 3–13.

[5] J. Černý, Poznámka k homogénnym eksperimentom s konecnými automatami, Mat.-Fyz. Cas. Slovensk. Akad. Vied. 14 (1964) 208–216 [in Slovak].

[6] L. Dubuc, Sur les automates circulaires et la conjecture de Černý, RAIRO Inform. Theor. Appl. 32 (1998) 21–34 [in French].

[7] D. Eppstein, Reset sequences for monotonic automata, SIAM J. Comput. 19 (1990) 500–510.

[8] J. Kari, Synchronizing finite automata on Eulerian digraphs, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 295 (2003) 223–232.

[9] Z. Kohavi, J. Winograd, Bounds on the length of synchronizing sequences and the order of information losslessness, in Z. Kohavi, A. Paz (eds.), Theory of Machines and Computations, Academic Press, New York and London, 1971, 197–206.

[10] P. Martyugin, A series of slowly synchronizing automata with zero state over a small alphabet. Inf. Comput. 19 (2009), 517–536.

[11] E. V. Pribavkina, Slowly synchronizing automata with zero and noncomplete sets. Math. Notes 90 (2011), 411–417.

[12] I. Rystsov, Reset words for commutative and solvable automata, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 172 (1997) 273–279.

[13] A. N. Trahtman, The Černý conjecture for aperiodic automata, Discrete Math. Theoret. Comput. Sci. 9, No. 2 (2007), 3–10.

[14] V. Vorel, Synchronization, Road Coloring, and Jumps in Finite Automata. Master Thesis, Charles University, Prague, 2015.